



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/782,077	02/14/2001	Jonathan S. Stamler	28195-502 CIP	9791
35437 75	590 12/22/2004	·	EXAMINER	
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO			PAK, JOHN D	
666 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 101111,			1616	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/782,077	STAMLER, JONATHAN S.	STAMLER, JONATHAN S.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	- 	
	JOHN PAK	1616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	7	
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	d to avoid abandonment of thi er: (1) a timely filed amendm Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a lent which places the application in	/ led	
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or l	p)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	•			
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire land ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.	n no	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding amountened statutory period for reply original	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for	under orth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 April 2004. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:			
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N	lote below);			
(c) X they are not deemed to place the applica issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifyin	g the	
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) v canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	vould be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amend	ment	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) reques application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT place	the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	lows:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8 The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

0L-303 (Rev. 11-03) Advisory Action

10. Other: See Interview Summary Record of 12/17/2004

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1000 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/782,077

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 is rendered confusing as to its metes and boudns because component (a) does not appear to include the compounds of claims 4, 5, 18 and 19. Since those claims have not been canceled, the metes and bounds of claim 1 is confusing and indefinite. For future consideration, applicant is requested to double check that compounds claimed in all dependent claims clearly and properly meet the requirements of proposed claim 1.